How to reduce pleural drainage complications using an ultrasoundguided technique.

Como reduzir complicações relacionadas à drenagem pleural utilizando uma técnica guiada por ultrassom.

CARLOS AUGUSTO METIDIERI MENEGOZZO, TCBC-SP1; ADRIANO RIBEIRO MEYER-PFLUG, TCBC-SP1; EDIVALDO MASSAZO UTIYAMA, TCBC-SP1.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

The article by Mendes and Hirano¹ addresses a relevant issue in the context of emergency surgery. In fact, complications related to emergency pleural drainage occur in 14% to 25%^{2,3} and may range from drain misplacement to lethal iatrogenic lesions^{4,5}. Faced with this scenario, the understanding of factors related to the incidence of complications leads to the development of measures and techniques that can reduce this rate. One of the techniques is the ultrasound-guided pleural drainage, which has been standardized by our group and recently published⁶.

Regarding the origin of the complications reported in the article, we consider that anatomical variations and pathological alterations can also result in drainage failure. Although the authors did not observe intercostal artery lesions, this is a common complication reported in literature⁷. The presence of vulnerable vessels in the intercostal space can be excluded, using ultrasound Doppler mode⁸. Some conditions favor iatrogenic lesions during pleural drainage. Atelectasis may result in diaphragmatic dome elevation and diaphragmatic hernias increase the risk of abdominal viscera lesions during drainage⁴. By using ultrasound, it is possible to identify the diaphragmatic excursion and define the safest intercostal space to perform the procedure^{6,9}. Thus, drain insertion into the abdominal cavity, observed in two cases¹, could have been avoided using the echoguided technique.

Since there is no detailed description of the malpositioning in the article by Mendes and Hirano¹, we identified three more cases in which the use of ultrasound could have avoided complications. In the case in which there was a gastric perforation, a diaphragmatic hernia, often not observed by digital exploration of the cavity, could have been visualized by ultrasound during an echo-guided pleural drainage. Regarding the two cases of drain placed in the subcutaneous, ultrasound could have prevented complication. With ultrasound, malpositionings quickly identified¹⁰. Instead of waiting for results of chest X-ray or tomography to confirm positioning, ultrasound allows the physician to promptly identify the necessity of re-drainage.

Obviously, the ultrasound-guided pleural drainage technique has two important limitations. The first is related to resources and lack of training. The other limitation refers to drainage time. Although there are not yet prospective studies comparing the echo-guided technique with the traditional one, it is assumed that the first should take longer.

The medical community is increasingly using ultrasound in emergencies and as an adjunct to invasive procedures. It is necessary that professionals understand the benefits of this tool and the importance of specific training. There are several point-of-care courses in Brazil. To our knowledge, the Discipline of General Surgery and Trauma of Hospital das Clinicas

^{1 -} Hospital das Clínicas, Universidade de São Paulo, Discipline of General Surgery and Trauma, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

- Universidade de São Paulo (HC-FMUSP) offers the only course that teaches the step-by-step ultrasoundguided pleural drainage, developed by our group⁶, in addition to other important topics of ultrasound in emergency medicine.

Thus, we consider that the incorporation of the ultrasound-guided pleural drainage technique may avoid complications currently observed in our medical centers. For this, physicians need to be adequately trained and hospitals must provide the necessary resources to perform the procedure. Similar to other procedures such as thoracentesis and central venous access, in which the echo-guided technique became the gold standard, we believe that the same positive results can be obtained with echo-guided pleural drainage.

REFERENCES

- Mendes CA, Hirano ES. Predictors of chest drainage complications in trauma patients. Rev Col Bras Cir. 2018;45(2):e1543.
- 2. Aylwin CJ, Brohi K, Davies GD, Walsh MS. Prehospital and in-hospital thoracostomy: indications and complications. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2008;90(1):54-7.
- 3. Deneuville M. Morbidity of percutaneous tube thoracostomy in trauma patients. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2002;22(5):673-8.

- 4. Wrightson JM, Helm EJ, Rahman NM, Gleeson FV, Davies RJ. Pleural procedures and pleuroscopy. Respirology. 2009;14(6):796-807.
- Hooper C, Maskell N; BTS audit team. British Thoracic Society national pleural procedures audit 2010. Thorax. 2011;66(7):636-7.
- 6. Menegozzo CAM, Utiyama EM. Steering the wheel towards the standard of care: proposal of a step-by-step ultrasound-guided emergency chest tube drainage and literature review. Int J Surg. 2018;56:315-9.
- Kong VY, Clarke DL. The spectrum of visceral injuries secondary to misplaced intercostal chest drains: experience from a high volume trauma service in South Africa. Injury. 2014;45(9):1435-9.
- Salamonsen M, Dobeli K, McGrath D, Readdy C, Ware R, Steinke K, Fielding D. Physician-performed ultrasound can accurately screen for a vulnerable intercostal artery prior to chest drainage procedures. Respirology. 2013;18(6):942-7.
- Bowness JS, Nicholls K, Kilgour PM, Ferris J, Whiten S, Parkin I, et al. Finding the fifth intercostal space for chest drain insertion: guidelines and ultrasound. Emerg Med J. 2015;32(12):951-4.
- Jenkins JA, Gharahbaghian L, Doniger SJ, Bradley S, Crandall S, Spain DA, et al. Sonographic Identification of Tube Thoracostomy Study (SITTS): confirmation of intrathoracic placement. West J Emerg Med. 2012;13(4):305-11.